


GeoERA



Establishing the European Geological Surveys Research Area to deliver a Geological Service for Europe

JOINT CALL DOCUMENT NO.8B

EVALUATION FORM

Stage Two - Project Proposals

Joint Call on applied geoscience in the fields of:

- Geo-energy
- Groundwater
- Raw materials
- Information platform

Version no: 1.0

Last change 31 March 2017 17:04



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 731166

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation Form 2

Scoring..... 3



Evaluation Form

GeoERA call topic		Conformity with the topic objectives	Tick one
Proposal number		Fully conforms and addresses all the objectives	
Acronym		Partially conforms & any deviation is appropriately justified	
Total score	/15 Threshold 10/15	Does not conform, OR any deviation is NOT justified	
Is the proposal suitable for funding?	Yes/No	Date of evaluation	
Does each participant have the necessary basic operational capacity to carry out their proposed activities? Yes/No	If no, please add comments:		

CRITERIA	ASPECTS TO CONSIDER:	REFEREES COMMENTS:	SCORES
EXCELLENCE	<p>To the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the topic description of the call:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Clarity and pertinence of the objectives ○ Soundness of the concept, and credibility of the proposed methodology ○ Extent that the proposed work is beyond the state of the art, and demonstrates innovation potential (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches, new products, services or business and organizational models) ○ Appropriate consideration of interdisciplinary approaches (in particular interactions with other GeoERA themes) and use of stakeholder knowledge 		/5
			Threshold 3/5
IMPACT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The extent to which the outputs of the project would contribute to each of the expected impacts listed in the Stage 2 Call under the relevant topic ○ Any substantial impacts not mentioned in the Stage 2 Call, that would enhance innovation capacity, creating new market opportunities, strengthen competitive-ness and growth of companies, Address issues related to climate change or the environment, cover the interest of multiple European countries, or bring other important benefits for society; ○ The quality of the proposed measures to¹: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of IPR), and to manage research data where relevant ○ Communicate the project activities to different target audiences 		/5
			Threshold 3/5

¹ Proposed measures and approaches should specifically take into account, and align with, IPR and data management agreements and communication activities described in the overall GeoERA Grant Agreement and Consortium Agreement



QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, including extent to which the resources assigned to work packages are in line with their objectives and deliverables; ○ Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management²; ○ Complementarity of the participants and extent to which the consortium as whole brings together the necessary expertise; ○ Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks, ensuring that all participants have a valid role and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role. 		/5
			<i>Threshold</i> 3/5

Scoring

Scores must be in the range 0-5. Evaluators will be asked to score proposals as they were submitted, rather than on their potential if certain changes were to be made. When an evaluator identifies significant shortcomings, he or she must reflect this by awarding a lower score for the criterion concerned.

Interpretation of the scores

0 — The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.

1 — **Poor.** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2 — **Fair.** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.

3 — **Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.

4 — **Very Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.

5 — **Excellent.** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

Thresholds

The threshold for individual criteria is 3. The overall threshold, applying to the sum of the three individual scores, is 10.

² Specific guidelines for project management structures agreed in the overall GeoERA Grant Agreement and Consortium Agreement must be taken into account